YEAR 3 PROGRESS REPORT – January 2021

I. PROJECT TITLE:

Utilizing malolactic fermentation as a tool to prevent Brettanomyces bruxellensis wine spoilage.

II. PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:

J.P. Osborne. Extension Enologist, Department of Food Science and Technology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR. 97331, ph 541-737-6494; email james.osborne@oregonstate.edu

COLLABORATOR:

M. Qian, Department of Food Science and Technology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR. 97331, ph 541-737-9114; email: <u>michael.qian@oregonstate.edu</u>

III. SUMMARY:

Brettanomyces bruxellensis is considered one of the most problematic wine spoilage yeasts due to the difficulty of controlling it, the potential significant financial losses due to loss of wine quality, and the cost of prevention and remediation measures. Wine is particularly vulnerable to *B. bruxellensis* infection during and shortly after the malolactic fermentation (MLF) as SO₂ cannot be added until this process is complete. It has been suggested that conducting a rapid MLF initiated by inoculation of *Oenococcus oeni* is a useful strategy to prevent *B. bruxellensis* spoilage as this minimizes the length of time the wine is not protected by SO₂. This project investigates an additional benefit of conducting a rapid MLF, the prevention of *B. bruxellensis* growth due to inhibitory interactions with *O. oeni*. Pinot noir wine (no SO₂ additions, no MLF) was produced and used to test the ability of a large number of commercial *O. oeni* strains to inhibit *B. bruxellensis* growth at the end of MLF. Sterile filtered wine was inoculated with one of eleven commercial *O. oeni* strains and growth and malic acid monitored. When MLF was complete, wines were inoculated with a select strain of *B. bruxellensis* and growth and volatile phenol production monitored.

All *O. oeni* strains tested inhibited the growth of *B. bruxellensis* UCD2049 in Pinot noir wine with *O. oeni* strain variation observed. *O. oeni* strains Alpha, 350, VP41, MBR31 and PN4 most strongly inhibited growth of *B. bruxellensis* UCD2049, while strains CH11, Omega, Beta, and VFO 2.0 inhibited *B. bruxellensis* to a lesser extent. The potential mechanism of this inhibition was investigated by using a dialysis membrane to physically separate *O. oeni* and *B. bruxellensis* cells but allow free movement of nutrients and other potential inhibition of *B. bruxellensis* by *O. oeni* that occurred when the two microorganism were in present together. These results indicate that inhibition is not due to nutrient depletion by *O. oeni* as nutrients could flow freely across the dialysis membrane. It is also unlikely that *B. bruxellensis* inhibition was due to the production of an inhibitory compound by *O. oeni* as any potential inhibitory compound would also have passed through the dialysis membrane. Instead, these results provide strong evidence that the inhibition of *B. bruxellensis* by *O. oeni* is due to cell-cell contact.

The sensitivity of additional B. bruxellensis strains to O. oeni was also determined. While B. bruxellensis UCD2049 populations declined rapidly when inoculated into Pinot noir wine that had just completed MLF with O. oeni Alpha, growth of the other B. bruxellensis strains tested was not impacted. Why B. bruxellensis strain UCD2049 was inhibited by O. oeni while the other B. bruxellensis strains were not was subsequently investigated. Initial experiments considered whether ethanol tolerance between B. bruxellensis strains impacted inhibition by O. oeni. Given that earlier experiments had been conducted in 13% (v/v) wine, wines were instead adjusted to 12.5% or 14% (v/v) ethanol. In low (12.5%) ethanol wine that had undergone MLF, B. bruxellensis UCD2049 grew well, in contrast to what was observed in 13% wine where growth was inhibited. B. bruxellensis strains AWRI-1499 and Copper Mountain also grew well in low ethanol wine with no difference between treatments. In higher ethanol wine, B. bruxellensis UCD2049 struggled to grow whether the wine had undergone MLF or not. In contrast, B. bruxellensis strains AWRI-1499 and Cooper Mountain grew well in the higher ethanol wine. B. bruxellensis strains AWRI-1499 populations recovered slower in wine that had undergone MLF while the opposite occurred for strain Copper Mountain. These results demonstrate that ethanol tolerance differences between B. bruxellensis strains impact their inhibition by O. oeni. For example, strain UCD2049 was not inhibited by O. oeni in wine at 12.5% ethanol but was inhibited in 13% and 14% ethanol wine. Additional experiments will be conducted where pH will also be considered as tolerance to this factor is known to differ between B. bruxellensis strains. Experiments are also underway exploring how long MLF induced B. bruxellensis inhibition last as well as whether B. bruxellensis inhibition occurs if infection happens at the beginning or mid-point of MLF.

IV. <u>OBJECTIVES AND EXPERIMENTS CONDUCTED TO MEET STATED</u> <u>OBJECTIVES:</u>

Objective 1. Investigate *Oenococcus oeni* inhibition of *B. bruxellensis* growth and volatile phenol production and determine if strain variability exists

A large number of commercial *O. oeni* strains were collected and pure cultures of each strain obtained by streaking for single colonies and growing a single colony in broth before storing culture at -80°C in glycerol. *B. bruxellensis* strains were collected from the UC Davis culture collection, the AWRI culture collection, the WSU *Brettanomyces* culture collection (Dr. Charles Edwards) and various culture collections at OSU (Bakalinsky and Curtin). As with *O. oeni*, *B. bruxellensis* cultures were streaked for single colonies, grown in broth, and stored at -80°C in glycerol.

To study interactions between *O. oeni* and *B. bruxellensis*, Pinot noir wine was produced in 2018, 2019, and 2020 where no SO₂ additions were made and where MLF was prevented by sterile filtration at the completion of alcoholic fermentation. Pinot noir grapes from the Oregon State University vineyard were destemmed, an addition of Fermaid K (0.25 g/L) was made followed by inoculation with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* RC212. Fermentations were conducted at 27 °C with twice-daily punch downs and °Brix and temperature monitored daily. At the completion of alcoholic fermentation (< 0.5 g/L reducing sugar) wines were pressed, cold settled, and filtered through 5 μ m and 1 μ m cartridge filters. Wine was then sterile filtered (0.45 μ m PES

membrane filter) and stored at 4°C until needed for experiments. Basic wine composition (pH, TA, alcohol, malic acid) was measured using standard methods. Wine hydoxycinnamic acids (volatile phenol pre-cursors) were measured by HPLC-DAD (Chescheir et al. 2015). The basic chemical composition of the Pinot wines produced is listed in Table 1.

Oenococcus oeni screening

Pinot noir wine produced in 2018 was used to investigate the impact of a number of commercially available *O. oeni* strains on the growth and volatile phenol production of *B. bruxellensis*. The 2018 Pinot noir wine was adjusted to 13% (v/v) ethanol by the addition of sterile water. Eleven commercial *O. oeni* strains (VP41, Beta, Alpha, PN4, CH-11, CH-16, CH-35, MBR31, VFO 2.0, 350 PreAc, Omega) were streaked for single colonies on MR plates and a single colony was inoculated into broth. After 10 days incubation, *O. oeni* were harvested by centrifugation and inoculated into sterile filtered wine at approx. 10⁶ CFU/mL. *O. oeni* populations are monitored every five days by plating on MRS media while MLF was monitored by enzymatic analysis of malic acid. When MLF was complete, wines were inoculated with *B. bruxellensis* UCD2049 at approx. 10⁴ CFU/mL. A set of wines that did not undergo MLF (control) was also inoculated with *B. bruxellensis* UCD2049. All treatments were conducted in triplicate. *B. bruxellensis* populations were monitored by plating on YPD media for a minimum of 60 days.

Brettanomyces bruxellensis screening

Pinot noir wine produced in 2019 was used to test the sensitivity of a number of *B. bruxellensis* strains to *O. oeni*. A single *O. oeni* strain, Alpha, was chosen to test against the *Brettanomyces* strains based on results from the *O. oeni* screening experiments. Sterile filtered 2019 Pinot noir wine was ethanol adjusted to 13% by the addition of sterile water and divided into 100 ml aliquots in 100 mL media bottles and inoculated with *O. oeni* Alpha at approx. 10^6 CFU/mL. MLF was monitored by enzymatic analysis of malic acid and considered complete when malic acid was < 0.05 g/L. *O. oeni* populations were determined at the end of MLF by plating on MRS media. *B. bruxellensis* strains were prepared from pure cultures as previously described and inoculated with the various *B. bruxellensis* strains. All treatments were conducted in triplicate and *B. bruxellensis* populations were monitored by plating on YPD media. At the completion of the experiment, wine samples were assessed for volatile phenols by GC-MS as described by Zhou et al. (2015).

Interactions between ethanol and presence of O. oeni

An experiment in 2019 Pinot noir wine investigated if the differences in *B. bruxellensis* susceptibility to *O. oeni* is related to their sensitivity to ethanol. Inhibitory conditions in a wine provide a combined effect on the ability of *B. bruxellensis* to grow and this experiment will determine if the presence of *O. oeni* is an additional "hurdle" that *Brettanomyces* needs to overcome in wine. AN overview of the experimental design is shown in Figure 1. MLF was induced in the Pinot noir by addition of *O. oeni* Alpha. At the completion of MLF, wines were adjusted to 12.5% or 14% ethanol by the addition of Everclear or sterile water. A set of wines that did not undergo MLF was also adjusted to 12.5% or 14% ethanol by the addition of Everclear or sterile water. The pH of the non-MLF wines was also adjusted to the same pH as the wines that had undergone MLF to ensure that pH differences between the wines did not interfere

with the experiment. After ethanol adjustments, all wines were inoculated with either *B*. *bruxellensis* strain UCD2049, strain AWRI-1499, or strain Copper Mountain at approx. 10^3 CFU/mL. All treatments were conducted in triplicate. *B. bruxellensis* populations were monitored by plating on YPD media for a minimum of 60 days.



Figure 1. Experimental design for impact of *O. oeni* strain Alpha on a *B. bruxellensis* strains in high and low ethanol wine



Figure 2. Experimental set-up for dialysis cassette experiment. Treatment (A) assess growth of *B. bruxellensis* UCD-2049 by itself in wine that has not undergone MLF. Treatment (B) assess growth of *B. bruxellensis* UCD-2049 in wine that has undergone MLF with *O. oeni* Alpha where *O. oeni* and *B. bruxellensis* were physically kept separate by dialysis membrane. Treatment (C) assess growth of *B. bruxellensis* UCD-2049 in wine that has undergone MLF with *O. oeni* with *O. oeni* and *B. bruxellensis* uCD-2049 in wine that has undergone MLF with *W* as the separate by dialysis membrane.

Objective 2. Determine mechanism by which B. bruxellensis is inhibited by O. oeni

Experiments to determine the mechanism by which *B. bruxellensis* is inhibited by *O. oeni* were undertaken. An experimental set-up utilizing dialysis membrane cassettes (10,000 Dalton molecular weight cut-off) sourced from Thermo-Scientific was used. The set-up of the treatments are outlined in Figure 2. To remove contaminants such as sulfur dioxide from the dialysis membranes, each cassette was passed through a washing procedure. Dialysis cassettes were prepared by aseptically soaking in: 1% EDTA, 0.3% sodium sulfite, and 0.2% sulfuric acid. Cassettes were soaked in sterile deionized (DI) water between each rinse step. Cassettes were then soaked in 0.3% hydrogen peroxide for ten minutes to remove SO₂, soaked in DI water o/n, and finally filled with sterile filtered 2019 Pinot noir wine. After 24hrs, the wine was tested for free and bound SO₂ to ensure that the washing steps had been successful. *O. oeni* Alpha was inoculated into the cassettes at approx. 10^6 CFU/mL as indicated in Figure 1. MLF was monitored by enzymatic assay and at the end of MLF *B. bruxellensis* UCD-2049 was inoculated into all treatments at approx. 10^5 CFU/mL. All treatments were conducted in triplicate and *B. bruxellensis* populations were monitored by periodically plating on YPD agar.

Objective 3. Investigate impact of timing of *B. bruxellensis* infection relative to malolactic fermentation on growth inhibition and persistence of inhibition

Results to date have shown that *B. bruxellensis* is inhibited when inoculated into wine at the end of MLF. An xperiments was conducted to determine if this inhibition also occurs if *B. bruxellensis* infection occurs at the beginning or during MLF. 2019 sterile filtered Pinot noir wine was used for the following treatments:

- 1) Control (no-MLF, no microorganisms)
- 2) Inoculate wine with only *O. oeni* Alpha at 10^{6} CFU/mL
- 3) Inoculate wine with only *B. bruxellensis* UCD-2049 at 10^3 CFU/mL
- Inoculate wine with both O. oeni Alpha (10⁶ CFU/mL) and B. bruxellensis UCD-2049 (10³ CFU/mL)
- 5) Inoculate wine undergoing MLF with *O. oeni* Alpha with *B. bruxellensis* (10³ CFU/mL) part way through MLF (target 50% malic reduction)
- 6) Inoculate wine that has completed MLF with *B. bruxellensis* UCD-2049

The initial experiment was meant to run for 90 days but due to COVID-19 restrictions at the University, the experiment was unable to be completed. A partial set of results were generated and are included in this report. This experiment will be repeated using wine produced in 2020.

V. SUMMARY OF MAJOR RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND RESULTS:

A number of *B. bruxellensis* strains were collected from various culture collections including strains isolated from Oregon wineries. The genetic and physiological characteristics of many of these strains has previously been determined (Conterno et al 2006; Jensen et al. 2009; Curtin et al. 2012; Oswald and Edwards 2017) and this information was used to help determine which strains to tested for their sensitivity to *O. oeni*. For example, strain AWRI-1499 is reported to have high tolerance to SO₂ (Curtin et al. 2012), while strain UCD-2049 has low tolerance to SO₂ (Conterno et al 2006; Jensen et al. 2009; Curtin et al. 2012). Additionally, strain WSU-i1a is more ethanol tolerant than strain WSU-F3 (Oswald and Edwards 2017).

	pH	ТА	Alcohol %	Malic acid	<i>p</i> -coumaric acid
		(g/100 mL)	(v/v)	(g/L)	(mg/L)
2018 Pinot noir	3.45	0.64	14.5	1.56	1.1
2019 Pinot noir	3.48	0.59	13.7	1.29	1.2
2020 Pinot noir	3.39	0.68	13.9	1.85	1.0

 Table 1. Pinot noir wine parameters

 Table 2. O. oeni strains used for screening

Strain	Source		
Alpha	Lallemand		
Beta	Lallemand		
VP41	Lallemand		
MBR31	Lallemand		
CH35	Chr. Hansen		
CH16	Chr. Hansen		
CH11	Chr. Hansen		
VFO 2.0	Chr. Hansen		
PN4	Lallemand		
350 PreAc	Laffort		
Omega	Lallemand		

Table 3.	<i>B</i> .	bruxellensis	strains	used f	or scre	ening
1 4010 01	$\boldsymbol{\nu}$.	01 11.1010101010	Stramb	abea 1	or bere	Johns

Strain	Source Collection	
UCD-2049	Wine, Pinot noir, New ZealandUC Davis culture collection	
AWRI-1499	Wine, Australia	AWRI culture collection
Y18	Wine, Australia	Curtin OSU culture collection
Y16	Wine, Australia	Curtin OSU culture collection
WSU-F3	Wine, Cabernet Sauvignon, WA	Edwards WSU culture collection
WSU-i1a	Wine, Syrah, WA	Edwards WSU culture collection
UCD-738	Wine, MO	UC Davis Culture Collection
Copper Mountain	Wine, Pinot noir, OR	Bakalinsky culture collection

O. oeni screening results:

B. bruxellensis UCD2049 was inoculated into 2018 Pinot noir wine (ethanol adjusted to 13% v/v) that had not undergone MLF or had just completed MLF using one of eleven commercial strains of *O. oeni*. When inoculated into wine that had not undergone MLF *B. bruxellensis* grew well with no decline in culturable cells. *B. bruxellensis* populations reached above 10⁶ CFU/mL 14 days after inoculation into the wine. In contrast, *B. bruxellensis* culturable cell populations declined rapidly when inoculated into wine that had just completed MLF no matter which *O. oeni* had conducted MLF (Figure 2-4). Variation in *B. bruxellensis* growth was observed depending on which *O. oeni* strain conducted MLF. In the case of wines that underwent MLF with *O. oeni* strain 350, Alpha, PN4, VP41, and MBR31, *B. bruxellensis* culturable cells were not detected again during the course of the experiment (49 days). In wines where MLF was conducted by *O. oeni* strain CH35 or CH16, low populations of *B. bruxellensis* were detected during the duration of the experiment. In wines where MLF was conducted by either *O. oeni*

strain Beta, Omega, CH11, or VFO 2.0, *B. bruxellensis* populations began to revover by the end of the experiment. For example, in wine where MLF was conducted by *O. oeni* CH11, *B. bruxellensis* populations rose from an undetectable level 14 days after inoculation to approx. 10⁴ CFU/mL by day 49 (Figure 3). However, even in the case of CH11, *B. bruxellensis* populations were reduced to very low populations for 2-3 weeks.



Figure 3. Growth of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* UCD2049 after inoculation into Pinot noir wine that had not undergone MLF (\blacksquare) or had undergone MLF with various *O. oeni* strains (\bullet).



Figure 4. Growth of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* UCD2049 after inoculation into Pinot noir wine that had not undergone MLF (\blacksquare) or had undergone MLF with various *O. oeni* strains (\bullet).



Figure 5. Growth of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* UCD2049 after inoculation into Pinot noir wine that had not undergone MLF (\blacksquare) or had undergone MLF with various *O. oeni* strains (\bullet).

O. oeni mechanism of inhibition

To investigate the mechanism of inhibition resulting from MLF, B. bruxellensis strain UCD-2049 was inoculated into wine that had or had not undergone MLF using O. oeni strain Alpha, a strain determined to be strongly inhibitory in the previous screening experiment. Using a 10 kDa dialysis membrane the two microorganisms were physically separated to determine whether physical contact was required for inhibition to occur. After inoculation B. bruxellensis populations in the control wine that did not undergo MLF initially decreased but entered exponential growth by day 18, reaching a population max of approximately 8 x 10⁵ by day 56 (Figure 6). When *B. bruxellensis* and *O. oeni* cells were separated by a 10 kDa membrane, *B.* bruxellensis populations initially declined but soon entered exponential growth reaching a population maximum of approximately 1 x 10^6 by day 28 (Figure 6). When *B. bruxellensis* and *O*. oeni cells were not separated by a membrane, B. bruxellensis populations declined after inoculation to approximately 5 x 10^2 CFU/mL by day 4 and fell below detection threshold by day 28. B. bruxellensis populations did not rise above detection threshold until Day 56 reaching 1.6 $x10^{6}$ CFU/mL by day 70 (Figure 6). In summary, the physical separation of O. oeni from B. bruxellensis relieved the inhibition of B. bruxellensis by O. oeni that occurred when the two microorganism were in physical contact. These results indicate that inhibition is not due to nutrient depletion by O. oeni as nutrients could flow freely across the dialysis membrane. It is also highly unlikely that B. bruxellensis inhibition was due to the production of an inhibitory compound by O. oeni as unless the compounds were larger than 10kDa they would have passed through the dialysis membrane. Lactic acid bacteria are reported to produce inhibitory compounds such as the bacteriocin nisin (3-5 kDa) that is commonly used in food preservation schemes to protect from bacterial spoilage. However, the majority of lactic acid bacteria produced bacteriocins described in the literature are smaller than 10 kDa. In addition, if a bacteriocin larger than 10kDa was produced by O. oeni, it would have to be active against the eukaryote B. bruxellensis, something that has not been reported in literature for bacteriocins. Instead, the result from this study provide strong evidence that the inhibition of *B. bruxellensis* by O. oeni is due to cell-cell contact. This type of inhibition has been reported before for non-Saccharomyces yeast (Nissen et al. 2003) but this is the first report of this type of inhibition of B. bruxellensis by another microorganism.

To further investigate the nature of the inhibition resulting from MLF, *B. bruxellensis* strain UCD-2049 was inoculated into wine at different time points during MLF being conducted by *O. oeni* strain Alpha. *B. bruxellensis* populations in treatments that were co-inoculated with *O. oeni* behaved similarly to their controls, declining rapidly to below detection threshold by day 7, and not returning again by the end of the experiment on day 42 (Figure 7). *B. bruxellensis* populations in treatments that were inoculated after a partial MLF declined slightly faster than their control, with both control and treatment populations falling below detection threshold by day 11. The control recovered slightly by day 21, reaching a population of 2.3×10^2 CFU/mL, and continued to recover sluggishly before reaching a population of approximately 7×10^2 CFU/mL by day 39. *B. bruxellensis* populations in the partial MLF treatment wine did not recover until day 39, reaching a population of approximately 3×10^2 CFU/mL (Figure 7). *B. bruxellensis* populations in treatments that were inoculated after a 14 day MLF responded differently than their controls. *B. bruxellensis* in the control wine for this treatment had reached a population of approximately 9×10^4 CFU/mL by day 21, and had multiplied to approximately 4×10^6 CFU/mL



by day 35. *B. bruxellensis* populations in the MLF treated wine declined to below detection thresholds by day 21, and had not recovered by day 35 (Figure 7).

Figure 6. Culturable cells of *B. bruxellensis* UCD-2049 in Pinot noir wine that did not undergo MLF (control) and wine that underwent a MLF with *O. oeni* Alpha. *B. bruxellensis* and *O. oeni* cells were separated by a 10 kDa membrane (cell separation) or were not separated (cell contact).



Figure 7. Culturable *B. bruxellensis* strain UCD-2049 cells in Pinot noir wine that did not undergo MLF (control) and wine that underwent MLF with *O. oeni* strain Alpha. *B. bruxellensis* was either co-inoculated (beginning), inoculated after partial MLF (partial), or inoculated at the end of MLF (post).

B. bruxellensis screening results:

The sensitivity of a range of *B. bruxellensis* strains to *O. oeni* was determined in 2018 Pinot noir. *O. oeni* strain Alpha was used as this strain was highly inhibitory to *B. bruxellensis* UCD-2049 in the previous *O. oeni* screening experiment. While *B. bruxellensis* UCD2049 culturability declined rapidly when inoculated into wine that had just completed MLF with *O. oeni* Alpha (Figure 8), growth of the other *B. bruxellensis* strains tested was not impacted (Figures 8&9). While there was some variation in growth between *B. bruxellensis* strains, similar growth occurred whether inoculated into wine that had or had not undergone MLF with *O. oeni* Alpha. At the completion of the screening experiment, wines were assessed for volatile phenols. As expected, the concentration of volatile phenols reflected growth of *B. bruxellensis* with minimal differences noted between strains grown in wine that had or had not undergone MLF (Table 4). The exception was *B. bruxellensis* UCD-2049 where much lower volatile phenols were present in wines that had undergone MLF with *O. oeni*. Again, this reflected the results from the growth study where *B. bruxellensis* UCD-2049 growth was inhibited by *O. oeni*.

Brottan omygag stroin	4FG	4EP	4VG	4VP	Total volatile
	420				phenols
AWRI-1499	190.8	355.1	12.8	86.9	645.7
AWRI-1499 + O. oeni	191.7	365.9	11.1	98.2	666.9
Copper Mountain	221.2	391.3	14.6	90.9	718.1
Copper Mountain + O. oeni	250.3	425.9	19.2	122.5	817.9
ila	246.9	463.9	17.1	94.1	822.1
i1a + O. oeni	212.1	462.4	14.9	106.7	796.1
UCD-738	220.7	415.2	14.9	95.8	746.6
UCD-738 + <i>O. oeni</i>	230.8	435.6	28.7	145.9	841.1
Y18	197.6	361.1	14.8	99.7	673.3
Y18 + O. oeni	210.5	374.7	15.7	113.6	714.6
UCD-2049	322.4	934.1	104.1	247.7	1608.3
UCD-2049 + <i>O. oeni</i>	8.9	<10	<10	<50	8.9

Table 4. Concentration of volatile phenols (ug/L) in Pinot noir that had or had not undergone
MLF with O. oeni Alpha and inoculated with various strains of B. bruxellensis



Figure 8. Growth of various *B. bruxellensis* strains in Pinot noir wine that has (\Box) or has not (\blacksquare) undergone MLF with *O. oeni* Alpha.



Figure 9. Growth of various *B. bruxellensis* strains in Pinot noir wine that has (\Box) or has not (\blacksquare) undergone MLF with *O. oeni* Alpha.

Effect of ethanol impact on B. bruxellensis inhibition by O. oeni

Why B. bruxellensis strain UCD2049 is sensitive to O. oeni while the other B. bruxellensis strains were not is unknown at this point. One possibility is that the inhibition of B. bruxellensis by O. oeni is influenced by wine conditions such as pH and ethanol. During the screening studies, wine pH ethanol content was adjusted to make it conducive to the growth of B. bruxellensis (pH 3.60 & 13% v/v ethanol). Additional experiments were therefore conducted in wines adjusted to higher or lower ethanol content to determine if there is a synergistic effect of ethanol and MLF. The effect of ethanol was investigated initially as B. bruxellensis strains are known to have different tolerances to ethanol (Strum et al. 2014, Oswald and Edwards 2017, Edwards and Oswald 2018). B. bruxellensis strains UCD-2049, Copper Mountain, and AWRI-1499 were inoculated into wines adjusted to high or low EtOH concentrations (12.5 and 14.0% EtOH v/v respectively) that had or had not undergone MLF with O. oeni strain Alpha. Wines were pH adjusted after MLF to minimize the impact this variable could have on B. bruxellensis growth. In the low EtOH wines, B. bruxellensis strain UCD-2049 grew well in low EtOH wine whether it was inoculated into wine that had undergone MLF or not (Figure 10A). This is in contrast to what was observed earlier (Figures 3-5) for UCD-2049. However, the earlier results were seen in Pinot noir wine that had been adjusted to 13% EtOH. In the higher ethanol wine (14%), B. bruxellensis UCD-2049 populations declined quickly in both the MLF treated wine and in the control, with populations falling to 1×10^2 CFU/mL in the control and below detection threshold in the MLF treatment. B. bruxellensis populations in the control and MLF treated wines with populations in the MLF treated wines not recovering at any time during the 67 days of the experiment (Figure 10A). B. bruxellensis populations in the control wine that had not undergone MLF began to recover near the end of the experiment with $2x10^3$ CFU/mL being detected on day 67 (Figure 10A).

B. bruxellensis Copper Mountain (CM) grew well in the low EtOH control wines and reached a population maximum of approximately 6×10^6 CFU/mL by day 16 (Figure 10B). In contrast, populations in the low EtOH wine that had undergone MLF decreased after inoculation to approximately 1×10^3 CFU/mL by day 4. However, the *B. bruxellensis* populations recovered and reached a similar population to the control by day 16 (Figure 10B). In the high EtOH wine, *B. bruxellensis* CM populations in both the control and MLF wine declined soon after inoculation but recovered in the MLF wine reaching a maximum of approximately 3×10^6 CFU/mL by day 49 (Figure 10B). In the control wine the *B. bruxellensis* CM populations remained low until recovering by the end of the experiment.

B. bruxellensis AWRI-1499 populations in low EtOH wine for both the control and MLF treatments behaved similarly. For both treatments, *B. bruxellensis* AWRI-1499 entered exponential growth by day 9, reaching a population maximum by day 48 (Figure 10C). While no differences between *B. bruxellensis* AWRI-1499 populations were observed between the control or MLF treatments was at low EtOH, a difference was noted in the high ethanol wine (Figure 10C). *B. bruxellensis* AWRI-1499 populations in the control wines declined to approximately 4 x 10^3 CFU/mL by day 4 but had entered exponential growth by day 9, reaching a population maximum of 5 x 10^6 CFU/mL by day 49. In high EtOH wine that had undergone MLF with *O. oeni* Alpha, *B. bruxellensis* populations in the control. For example, 16 days after inoculation *B. bruxellensis* populations in the control. 2 x 10^5 CFU/mL while in wine

that had undergone MLF the population was only approx. $1 \ge 10^3$ CFU/mL (Figure 10C). *B. bruxellensis* populations in wine that underwent MLF did not reach similar populations to the control until day 49.



Figure. 10 Growth of *B. bruxellensis* strain UCD-2049 (A), Copper Mountain (B), or AWRI-1499 (C) in Pinot noir wine adjusted to 12.5% EtOH v/v or to 14% EtOH v/v that has (Alpha) or has not (Control) undergone MLF with *O. oeni* strain Alpha.

VI. OUTSIDE PRESENTATIONS OF RESEARCH

A presentation of results from this research was planned for the 2020 American Society for Enology and Viticulture annual meeting (Portland, OR). Due to the pandemic this meeting was cancelled. Research results instead will be presented at the 2021 American Society for Enology and Viticulture annual meeting in Monterey CA. An update on this project will be presented at the 2021 Oregon Wine Symposium.

VII. <u>RESEARCH SUCCESS STATEMENTS:</u>

To date, this research has resulted in a number of significant findings regarding interactions between Oenococcus and Brettanomyces bruxellensis. Firstly, B. bruxellensis UCD-2049 growth was strongly inhibited when inoculated into wine at the end of MLF. All O. oeni strains used to conduct MLF had a similar initial effect on B. bruxellensis growth but O. oeni strain variability was observed during the course of the experiment. For example, some O. oeni strains so strongly inhibited B. bruxellensis that populations were not detected throughout the experiment while B. bruxellensis growth was observed near the end of the experiment for other strains. These strain differences suggest that some O. oeni strains may be more effective than others at suppressing B. bruxellensis growth than others, and given the practical implications, merits additional study. How O. oeni inhibits B. bruxellensis was also determined and is likely due to cell-cell contact between the two microorganisms rather than nutrient depletion or production of inhibitory compound(s). A further significant finding was that not all *B. bruxellensis* strains were as susceptible to inhibition by O. oeni as B. bruxellensis strain UCD-2049 was. A possible explanation for this strain variance was explored by testing whether ethanol tolerance impacted B. bruxellensis inhibition by O. oeni. Results demonstrated that ethanol tolerance differences between B. bruxellensis strains could impact their inhibition by O. oeni. For example, strain UCD2049 was not inhibited by O. oeni in wine at 12.5% ethanol but was inhibited in 13% and 14% ethanol wine. In contrast, a more ethanol tolerant B. bruxellensis strain, AWRI-1499, was not inhibited by O. oeni in 12.5% or 13% (v/v) Pinot noir wine but some growth repression was observed at in 14% (v/v) ethanol wine. The results of this study show that MLF conducted by O. oeni may offer some protection from spoilage by B. bruxellensis, though any protection conferred by MLF is likely to vary depending on *B. bruxellensis* strain and wine chemistry. Overall, the effects of MLF on B. bruxellensis are not such that they could be considered a standalone spoilage prevention strategy by winemakers. Instead, MLF could be considered as an additional hurdle that could be used to lower the risk of wine spoilage by *B. bruxellensis*, particularly during the period of time between the end of MLF and the addition of SO2 by the winemaker.

VIII. FUND STATUS:

Funds have been spent to support a graduate student and an undergraduate student who are conducting the experiments. Funds have been spent for winemaking, HPLC supplies for hydroxycinnamic acid analysis, microbiological media, and volatile phenol analysis by GC-MS. The majority of remaining funds are allocated for salary and volatile phenol analysis.

LITERATURE CITED:

- Chescheir, S.C., Philbin, D. and Osborne, J.P. (2015). Impact of *Oenococcus oeni* on wine hydroxycinnamic acids and volatile phenol production by *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*. 66:357-362.
- Conterno, L., Joseph, C.M.L., Arvik, T.J., Henick-Kling, T. & Bisson, L.F. (2006). Genetic and physiological characterization of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* strains isolated from wines.
- Curtin, C., Kennedy, E., Henschke, P.A. (2012) Genotype-dependent sulphite tolerance of Australian *Dekkera (Brettanomyces) bruxellensis* wine isolates, *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 55:56-61.
- Hood, A. (1983). Inhibition of growth of wine lactic-acid bacteria by acetaldehyde-bound sulphur dioxide. *Australian Grapegrower and Winemaker* 232:34-43.
- Jensen, S.L., Umiker N.L., Arneborg, N. & Edwards, C.G. (2009). Identification and characterization of *Dekkera bruxellensis, Candida pararugosa*, and *Pichia guilliermondii* isolated from commercial red wines. *Food Microbiology*, 915-921.
- Nissen P, Nielsen D, Arneborg N. (2003). Viable *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells at high concentrations cause early growth arrest of non-*Saccharomyces* yeast in mixed cultures by a cell-cell contact-mediated mechanism. *Yeast* 20:331-341.
- Ugliano, M., Genovese, A. & Moio, L. (2003). Hydrolysis of wine aroma precursors during malolactic fermentation with four commercial starter cultures of *Oenococcus oeni*. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 51:5073–5078.
- Vigentini, I., Romano, A., Compagno, C., Merico, A., Molinari, F., Tirelli, A., Foschino, R. & Volonterio, G. (2008). Physiological and oenological traits of different *Dekkera/Brettanomyces bruxellensis* strains under wine-model conditions. *FEMS yeast research*, 8:1087–1096.
- Zhou, Q., Qian, Y. & Qian, M. (2015). Analysis of volatile phenols in alcoholic beverage by ethylene glycol-polydimethylsiloxane based stir bar sorptive extraction and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Journal of chromatography a*, **1390**, 22-27.